

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/048,064_  | 01/28/2002      | Osamu Hashimoto      | OGW-0207            | 1662             |  |
| 23353  | 7590 07/18/2003 |                      |                     |                  |  |
| RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC<br>LION BUILDING<br>1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 |                 |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |
|  |                 |                      | TOOMER, CEPHIA D    |                  |  |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20036   |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |                 |                      | 1714                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

| <b>→</b> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.          | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Commons   | 10/048,064               | HASHIMOTO ET AL.                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner                 | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAN INC DATE of this communication on   | Cephia D. Toomer         | 1714   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | ·                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th  | nis action is non-final. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) israre objected to.   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>  | 5) Notice of Informal I  | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office  | <del></del>              | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/048,064

Art Unit: 1714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP406275982.

JP '982 teaches a radio wave absorbing material comprising ferrite compounded with a binder and 25% metal oxide (titanium oxide). The binder may be methyl polymethylacrylate or polystyrene (see abstract in its entirety).

Accordingly, JP '982 teaching all the material limitations of the claims, anticipates the claims.

4. Claims 1, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luxon (US 5,777,586).

Application/Control Number: 10/048,064

Art Unit: 1714

Luxon teaches radiation shielding and range extending antenna assembly which includes a radiation absorber composition comprising titanium oxide and thermoplastic and thermosetting resin binders (see col. 1, lines 13-22; col. 6, lines 16-28).

Accordingly, Luxon teaching all the material limitations of the claims, anticipates the claims.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyama (US 4,460,730).

Koyama teaches a composition comprising a granular or powdery phenolaldehyde resin and a powder of an inorganic material (titanium oxide)(see abstract; col. 1, lines 16-32; col. 11, lines 9-13). The articles prepared from this composition include radio wave absorbers (see col. 14, lines 37-42). Koyama also teaches that other thermoplastic and thermosetting binders may be included in the composition (see col. 14, lines 5-20).

Koyama teaches the limitations of the claims other than he does not exemplify a composition wherein the inorganic material is titanium oxide. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the compound because

Application/Control Number: 10/048,064

Art Unit: 1714

Koyama lists titanium oxide as one of the metal oxides that may be used to prepare the composition.

7. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyama or Luxon or JP '982 as applied to claims above, and further in view of WO9925166 (with Takao-US 6,479,140 as a translation of WO9925166).

Each of the references has been discussed above. The references fail to teach the addition of carbon black to the wave absorbing material. However, Takao teaches a radio wave absorbing material wherein carbon black is used as a conductive filler (see col. 4, lines 34-44). Takao uses from 5 to 80% by weight of the filler (see col. 4, lines 49-53). The percent by weight is comparable to the claimed 4 parts by weight or close enough to the claimed parts by weight that one skilled in the art would expect the compositions containing 5% carbon black to have the same properties.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have included carbon black in the radio wave absorber compositions because Takao teaches that carbon black "enables exertion of stable, uniform, and favorable wave absorbing power." See col. 4, lines 45-47.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is cited for teaching the general state of the art and is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 703-308-2509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/048,064 Page 5

Art Unit: 1714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

∕Çephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

10048064\4 July 12, 2003